

---

## Problem Set 4

### Econ 502: Advanced Microeconomics

---

#### Problem 1: Adverse Selection in the Used Laptop Market

A market for used laptops has two quality types:

- **High quality (H):** worth \$600 to sellers and \$1,000 to buyers
- **Low quality (L):** worth \$200 to sellers and \$400 to buyers

Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  denote the fraction of laptops that are high quality. Sellers know the quality of their own laptop; buyers cannot observe quality before purchase.

- a) **Symmetric information.** Suppose buyers can perfectly observe quality. Describe the competitive equilibrium. Which types trade?
- b) **Asymmetric information with  $\lambda = 0.3$ .** Buyers cannot observe quality and believe a fraction  $\lambda = 0.3$  of laptops are high quality. What is a buyer's expected value of a laptop? Given this expected value, which sellers are willing to sell? What is the highest possible equilibrium price in this market?
- c) **Asymmetric information with  $\lambda = 0.6$ .** Repeat part (b) with  $\lambda = 0.6$ . Does the market unravel in this case? Explain why the outcome differs from part (b).

---

#### Problem 2: Moral Hazard in Unemployment Insurance

A worker is laid off and enters a two-period model. In period 1, she is unemployed and receives UI benefit  $b$ . She chooses search effort  $e \geq 0$  at personal cost  $c(e)$ . With probability  $e$ , she finds a job paying wage  $w$  in period 2; with probability  $1 - e$ , she remains unemployed and receives  $b$  again in period 2. The worker has utility  $u(c)$  with  $u' > 0$  and  $u'' < 0$  (strictly risk-averse). The cost of search function  $c(e)$  is increasing and convex, with  $c(0) = 0$ ,  $c' > 0$ , and  $c'' > 0$ .

- a) Write down the worker's expected utility as a function of  $e$ ,  $b$ , and  $w$ . Derive the first-order condition for the optimal effort level  $e^*$  and give an economic interpretation.
- b) Show that  $\frac{de^*}{db} < 0$ . Explain intuitively why higher benefits reduce search effort.
- c) Now suppose  $u(z) = \sqrt{z}$ ,  $c(e) = \frac{1}{2}e^2$ , and  $w = 9$ . Find  $e^*(b)$  explicitly. At what benefit level does the worker exert zero effort?

### Problem 3: Externalities and Regulatory Policy

A chemical plant produces  $q$  units of output per day and earns a profit:

$$\pi(q) = 80q - q^2$$

Its production generates pollution that imposes a total external cost on a neighboring farm of:

$$EC(q) = 10q$$

- Find the chemical plant's privately optimal output  $q_{\text{priv}}$  and the associated profit. What is the total external cost imposed on the farm at this output level?
- Find the socially efficient output  $q^*$  that maximizes total surplus (plant profit minus external cost). Calculate the deadweight loss from unregulated production.
- Derive the Pigouvian tax  $t^*$  per unit of output that induces the plant to choose the efficient output. Verify that the tax achieves the social optimum.
- Coase Theorem.** Suppose bargaining between the plant and the farm is costless.
  - Farm holds the right** to zero pollution. Explain how the two parties negotiate. What output level emerges? What is the range of feasible payments from plant to farm?
  - Plant holds the right** to pollute freely. Starting from the plant's privately optimal output, show that there are gains from trade from reducing output to  $q^*$ . Describe the negotiation and the range of feasible payments.
  - Compare the outcomes in (i) and (ii). What does the Coase theorem predict, and how does the assignment of property rights matter?

---

### Problem 4: Public Goods and Free Riding

Two roommates (1 and 2) share an apartment and jointly consume a "household quality" public good  $G$  (WiFi, cleaning supplies, shared subscriptions) funded by their individual contributions  $g_i \geq 0$ , so  $G = g_1 + g_2$ . Roommate 1 has income  $Y_1 = 120$  and roommate 2 has income  $Y_2 = 180$ . Each roommate's budget constraint is  $x_i + g_i = Y_i$ , and their utility is:

$$U_i(x_i, G) = x_i \cdot G$$

where  $x_i = Y_i - g_i$  is private consumption.

#### Part I: Decentralized Equilibrium

- Taking the other roommate's contribution as given, derive roommate  $i$ 's best response function  $g_i^*(g_j)$ . How does the best response depend on  $g_j$ ? What does this reveal about the free-rider problem?
- Find the Nash equilibrium contributions  $(g_1^*, g_2^*)$ , total public good  $G^*$ , private consumption  $x_i^*$ , and utility  $U_i^*$  for each roommate. Note any surprising feature of the equilibrium given the income difference.

## Part II: Social Optimum

- c) A social planner maximizes total welfare  $U_1 + U_2$  subject to the resource constraint  $x_1 + x_2 + G = Y_1 + Y_2$ . Find the efficient total public good  $G^{**}$ . (*Hint*: Let  $X = x_1 + x_2$  and note that  $U_1 + U_2 = X \cdot G$ .)
- d) If the planner allocates private consumption equally ( $x_1^{**} = x_2^{**}$ ), find each roommate's contribution  $g_i^{**}$  and utility  $U_i^{**}$ . Compare  $G^*$  to  $G^{**}$ : by what fraction does decentralized provision fall short of the efficient level? Is each roommate better or worse off at the social optimum?

## Part III: Policy

- e) Suppose the government subsidizes contributions at rate  $s$ : each dollar contributed costs the roommate only  $(1 - s)$  dollars (the government covers the rest). Find the subsidy rate  $s^*$  that induces the efficient total public good  $G^{**}$  in the Nash equilibrium.

## Problem 5: Game Theory

### Part I: Normal Form Games

Consider the following simultaneous-move game. The row player is A and the column player is B.

	L	R
U	(6, 2)	(0, 4)
D	(3, 3)	(5, 1)

- a) Identify any strictly dominant or strictly dominated strategies. Eliminate any dominated strategies and explain your reasoning.
- b) Find all pure-strategy Nash equilibria, or explain why none exist.
- c) Find the unique mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium. Let  $p$  = probability A plays U and  $q$  = probability B plays L. Derive  $p^*$  and  $q^*$  and compute the expected payoff for each player.

### Part II: Sequential Games

- d) Now modify the game to be sequential: Player A moves first (choosing U or D), then Player B observes A's choice and responds. Draw the game tree. Using backward induction, find the subgame perfect equilibrium (SPE). What is the equilibrium outcome and what are the payoffs?
- e) Compare the SPE outcome to the mixed-strategy equilibrium payoffs from part (c). Is moving first an advantage or a disadvantage for Player A in this game?